



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,060	08/21/2003	Olaseni B. Duro-Emanuel	101612-5026-US	6554
28977 7590 03/02/2010 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2921				
EXAMINER				
RANKINS, WILLIAM E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3684				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/02/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,060 Examiner WILLIAM E. RANKINS	Applicant(s) DURO-EMANUEL ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 3684</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 3684	
Art Unit 3684				

All Participants:

(1) WILLIAM E. RANKINS.

(2) Kambiz Abdi.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
101 non-functional descriptive material and 112 1st written description

Claims discussed:
35, 51, 59, 70-72

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Participants discussed the reasons for and arguments against the rejections above. Participants disagreed on the reasoning for the rejections and adequate support therefore. Applicants' representative was advised that multiple examiners, both SPE and primary, agreed that the specification did not provide support for the claim amendments as currently presented to the office.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) Alison Weisberg.

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Kambiz Abdi/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3684
 /William E Rankins/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3684

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
 PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100224